

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Chief Minister).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise in view of answer to clause (a).

Distribution of Papers unconnected with the Agenda to the Members

Sri. H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihara).—I rise to a Point of Order. Before stating my Point of Order, there are one or two things which I would like to bring to your kind notice. As soon as I came, I asked for a ear-phone, but I have not been able to get it upto this time. I cannot hear whatever you talk. Kindly arrange for a ear-phone. The second thing is, no messenger is to be found anywhere here. Kindly see that a messenger is provided for the convenience of members sitting on this side.

The other point is, in the Order Paper that you have sent today I find one letter said to have been given by the Bangalore Poura Samithi. I do not know whether you are acting as a messenger of Bangalore Poura Samithi. It is said to be a memorandum presented by the Bangalore Poura Samithi on behalf of the Pure-silk Handloom weavers. Normally such papers would never be sent along with the papers sent by your office. I would like to know whether it is sent by your office or by a private individual. I do not know how this paper has come here. It is quite detrimental to the interests of the mulberry growers and cocoon rearers. It is meant for those who are middlemen. There is an enactment namely Silk Control Order,—Regulation of Production, Supply and Distribution (Amendment) Act, 1969, which has received the assent of the President on 16th October 1969. But, to this day, this Government have not given effect to it on the plea that rules have not been framed. Exactly the same tactics which they employed when the Regulated Market Act was passed, have been employed here also. That means, this Government is here only to protect the interests of the vested interests, the capitalists and the middlemen, but not the growers. The Minister concerned has to make a statement on this point, because he is entirely responsible for protecting the interests of the growers. Lakhs of rupees have been given to the growers by way of subsidy. I would like to know how this memorandum has been circulated to us by putting it along with the agenda papers that have been supplied to us. I request the Leader of the House, who is here, to send for the growers also and hear them before a decision is taken primarily in the interests of the growers.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN (Malleswaram).—Sir, in addition to what hon. Member Sri Siddaveerappa has said I would like to know whether notices, memorandum etc., are to be included along with the list of business etc., and if it is so, I would like you to see that any notices, memorandums, petitions etc., we give are also to be included along with

the order paper. Secondly, point No. 3 (e) in this memorandum refers to incurring of losses in these filature centres by the State Government, and to close them down and hand it over to the private sector and by doing so, the Government is going to be benefited etc., This is an open campaign of the private sector and vested interests...

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The hon. Member can refer to the distribution of memorandum but he cannot go into its merits.

Sri S. S. ARAKERI (Balloli) I have not received any intimation about the change in the seating arrangements you have made. I also want to know about the seat allotted to R.P.I. leader.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The hon. member has been already allotted a seat.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಯಪ್ಪ (ಕೋಲಾರ).—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಏಕೆ ಆ ರೇಷ್ಣೆ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲಮಾಡಲು ಹೊರಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ? ಬೆಳೆಯತಕ್ಕವನಿಗೆ ಅಗಲ ಸಾಕತಕ್ಕವನಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಅನುಕೂಲ ಮಾಡದೆ ವರ್ತಕರ ಹಿತಸಾಧನೆಗೆ ಏಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ? ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೋ ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಇರಬೇಕು. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಅತ್ಯಗತ್ಯ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ನಿಧೇಶ್ವರಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಮೆಮೊರಾಂಡಂ ಅನ್ನು ಯಾರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ನಮಗೆ ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೈಗಾರಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವೇನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಯಾರು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.....

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I am told that one of the hon. Members Sri T. R. Shamanna personally met the speaker and requested him to distribute the memorandum.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In that case, you will be setting a very bad precedent.

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಒಬ್ಬರು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಭಿಲೇಷಿಸುವರು ವಿರೋಧಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ಹಾಗೆ ತಾವು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair.]

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ (ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾಳೆ ನಾವು ಏನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೂ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಅದನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕು. ಈ ದಿವಸ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಾಮಣ್ಣನವರು ಕೊಚ್ಚಿದ್ದರೆ ನಾಳೆ ನಾವು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ತಾವು ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲೇಬೇಕು. ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಮುಂದೆ ನಾವೇನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದು ನಮಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಕಳೆದ ಮೂರು ದಿನಗಳಿಂದ ಏನೇನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ನಮಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs).—Sir, I am very sorry that it has so happened that the Legislature Office along the papers connected with the agenda has sent other papers which is not connected with the business of the legislature. It would not be proper for Legislature office to undertake the responsibility of distributing paper unconnected with the agenda from whomsoever it may originate. I would very much like your office to confine to this, hereafter at least.

Sri R. DAYANANDA SAGAR (Chamarajpet).—We are not taking objection to this. We only asked the Speaker to accord a similar treatment to the papers that we may also send him.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want to know whether the Legislature Office is under the control of the Minister for Parliamentary Affairs or whether it is under the Speaker. When you have already established a precedent—I know you are a great follower of rules and regulations—once you have set up a precedent and taken a certain stand, you have to accord the same courtesy and same co-operation to all the members of the House and if we send any literature for distribution it shall be your duty also to have it distributed following the precedent you have set up.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ರೇಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಡದ ಯಾವ ಪತ್ರ, ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಚಿದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾನೂನು ಬೆಂಬಲ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ ನಾನು ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಕಾಲತ್ತು ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾಗ ಒಂದು ಘಟನೆ ನಡೆಯಿತು. ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು ತೀರ್ಪು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ತಕ್ಷಣ ನಾನು ಎದ್ದು ನಿಂತುಕೊಂಡು ಆ ಸಾಲಗಾರನನ್ನು ಅರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರು ನನಗೆ ನೀವು ಸಾಲಗಾರರ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದಾಗ ತಕ್ಷಣ ನಾನು ಅರಿತುಕೊಂಡು ಅವನಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಕೊಡಲು ತಕ್ಷಣ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಈಗ ಸಿದ್ಧವಿರಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ. ಅದು ಅರೀತಿ ಇದೆ. ಅವರು ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಬೆಂಬಲ ಇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ ಸರಿಹೋಗಿರುವುದು. ಅದನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಸಾಲಧ್ಯವನ್ನು ಅದೇ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದು ತಪ್ಪು. ಅವರು ಅಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಕ್ಕು ಇದೆಯೋ ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ನನಗೂ ಇದೆ. ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇಂತಹ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಕಾನೂನು ಇಲ್ಲ. ಈಗೊತ್ತು ಅರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಾದರೆ ಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದಾದರಿಂದ ಅರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಇರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ನನಗೂ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am very much grateful to the hon. Members who brought this matter specifically to my notice. I thank the Hon. Leader of the Congress (R) party Sri Siddaveerappa for reminding me that I should strictly follow the rules. If I have inadvertently committed any mistake in circulating the memorandum, I will not hesitate to acknowledge the mistake. In the midst of my routine work it might have escaped my attention. I admit I have committed a mistake. But then, I will get the entire matter examined.

Sri AZEEZ SAIT.—The Deputy Speaker said that you had admitted it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry for what has happened which is not consistent with the rules. Any how I shall get it examined and I shall see that nothing happens in future which is not consistent with the rules or regulations or parliamentary convention.

Allotment a Seat to the members of the R.P.I.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಅರಕೇರಿ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಮಗೆ ಸೀಟಿನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Under Rule 4 of the Rules of Procedure, it is for the Speaker to allot seats to the various parties and independent